

# Clean Production, Dirty Sourcing: How Embodied Emissions Alter the Environmental Footprint of Exporters\*

Till Köveker<sup>†</sup>   Philipp M. Richter<sup>‡</sup>   Alexander Schiersch<sup>§</sup>   Robin Sogalla<sup>¶</sup>

January 15, 2026

## Abstract

International trade allows firms to outsource emissions through global supply chains, raising the question of whether exporters – the firms driving globalization – are truly cleaner than domestic producers. We show they are not: once emissions embodied in sourced inputs are included, the conventional exporter’s environmental premium reverses, challenging the view that trade reallocates activity toward cleaner firms. Using administrative firm-level data and customs records for German manufacturers combined with fuel- and product-specific emission factors, we construct carbon footprints that include both direct production-related emissions and those embodied in domestic and international supply chains. Four stylized facts emerge: (i) embodied emissions account for more than two-thirds of firms’ total emissions; (ii) exporters’ production involves disproportionately more of such emissions, particularly via international sourcing; (iii) exporters appear cleaner in production but dirtier in total; and (iv) at the intensive margin, export-demand increases reduce exporters’ production-related but not total emission intensity, consistent with substitution from energy toward intermediate inputs. A heterogeneous-firm sourcing model rationalizes this empirical evidence by highlighting the joint role of importing and exporting on firms’ emissions footprints. Our findings highlight the importance of accounting for embodied emissions when evaluating the environmental consequences of trade liberalization and designing climate policy.

**JEL-Classification:** F18, F12, L23, Q54, Q56

**Keywords:** Embodied emissions; international sourcing; CO<sub>2</sub> emission intensity; Exporter’s environmental premium; heterogeneous firms

---

\*We thank Pol Antràs, Tomaso Duso, Karsten Neuhoff, and Joschka Wanner for helpful comments and discussions. We also thank participants at the European Trade Study Group 2023, the Göttingen Workshop in International Economics 2025, the 13<sup>th</sup> Mannheim Conference on Energy and the Environment 2025, and the 4<sup>th</sup> Global Economic Networks (GEN) Workshop 2025 as well as seminar participants at the DIW Berlin, University of Leipzig, University of Heidelberg, University of Mannheim, ZEW Mannheim, and JMU Würzburg for fruitful discussions. We gratefully acknowledge the Research Data Centre (FDZ) of the Federal Statistical Office and the Statistical Offices of the German Länder for granting us access to the AFiD data and for the use of their research facilities, in particular Tasso Brandt, Benjamin Gampfer, Katja de la Motte, Steffen Lauf, Anja Malchin, Ricarda Nauenburg for their advice and technical support. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the institutions mentioned above. All errors remain the responsibility of the authors.

<sup>†</sup>DIW-Berlin & Technische Universität Berlin; E-mail: [TKoeveker@diw.de](mailto:TKoeveker@diw.de).

<sup>‡</sup>University of Mannheim & JMU Würzburg; E-mail: [philipp.richter@uni-mannheim.de](mailto:philipp.richter@uni-mannheim.de).

<sup>§</sup>DIW-Berlin; E-mail: [ASchiersch@diw.de](mailto:ASchiersch@diw.de).

<sup>¶</sup>University of Mannheim; E-mail: [robin.sogalla@uni-mannheim.de](mailto:robin.sogalla@uni-mannheim.de).